U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 09/817,365 Amendment filed September 19, 2005 Reply to OA dated June 27, 2005

REMARKS

Claims 7 - 11 are allowed. Claims 2 and 3 have are canceled without prejudice or disclaimer.

Claims 1 and 4 - 13 are currently pending in this patent application.

Claims 1 and 4 have been amended in order to more particularly point out, and distinctly claim the subject matter to which the applicants regard as their invention. The applicants respectfully submit that no new matter has been added. It is believed that this Amendment is fully responsive to the Office Action dated June 27, 2005.

The applicants thank the Examiner for further indicating that claims 4 and 5 contain allowable subject matter, and would be allowable if rewritten in the manner suggested in items 6 and 7, respectively, on page 3 of the outstanding Action.

However, claims 1, 2, 12 and 13 stand rejected under 35 USC §112, first paragraph, for the specific reasons set forth in item 2, page 2 of the outstanding Action. The applicants respectfully request reconsideration of this rejection.

U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 09/817,365 Amendment filed September 19, 2005 Reply to OA dated June 27, 2005

With respect to independent claim 1, because the amendments to independent claim 1 was

intended to include therein the allowable subject matter of claim 4, the applicant have deleted the

last clause of claim 1, in its entirety. In place of the deleted clause, the applicants have substituted

in place therefor, the last clause of allowed claim $4.\frac{11}{2}$

As to rejected claim 2, in order to expedite the processing of this patent application to

issuance, the applicants have canceled claim 2 without prejudice or disclaimer.

With respect to claim 6, the applicant submit that claim 6 clearly depends on allowable claim

4, and should be similarly allowable. Also, the term "symmetric" is sufficiently broad to have the

language of claim 6 directed to the embodiment covered in claim 4 from which claim 6 depends.

In view of the above, the withdrawal of the outstanding rejection under 35 USC §112, first

paragraph, is in order, and is therefore respectfully solicited.

 $\frac{17}{2}$ See, in item 8, page 4 of the Office Action dated November 4, 2003 concerning the reasons for the Examiner's allowance of claim 4, the reasons being set forth below as follows:

[t]he prior art does not disclose a pair of seal rings each having lip portions disposed and protruding in opposite directions, and a load seal ring compressed and inserted between the seal

rings, wherein one of the rings has an outer-diameter body and the other has an inner diameter

body.

Emphasis added.

-8-

U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 09/817,365 Amendment filed September 19, 2005

Reply to OA dated June 27, 2005

Claims 4 and 5 stand rejected under 35 USC §112, second paragraph, due to certain

informalities in the language of claim 4, which the Examiner deemed needed correction, as set forth

in item 4, pages 2 and 3 of the outstanding Action. The applicants respectfully request

reconsideration of this rejection.

As indicated above, claim 4 has been amended in order to more particularly point out, and

distinctly claim the subject matter to which the applicants regard as their invention, and in order to

correct certain informalities therein, including those pointed out by the Examiner..

Accordingly, the withdrawal of the outstanding indefiniteness rejection under 35 USC §112,

second paragraph, is in order, and is therefore respectfully solicited.

In view of the aforementioned amendments and accompanying remarks, claims, as amended,

are in condition for allowance, which action, at an early date, is requested.

If, for any reason, it is felt that this application is not now in condition for allowance, the

Examiner is requested to contact the applicants' undersigned attorney at the telephone number

indicated below to arrange for an interview to expedite the disposition of this case.

-9-

U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 09/817,365 Amendment filed September 19, 2005 Reply to OA dated June 27, 2005

In the event that this paper is not timely filed, the applicants respectfully petition for an appropriate extension of time. Please charge any fees for such an extension of time and any other fees which may be due with respect to this paper to Deposit Account No. 01-2340.

Respectfully submitted,

ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP

> Mel R. Quintos Attorney for Applicants Reg. No. 31,898

MRQ/lrj/ipc

Atty. Docket No. **010417** Suite 1000 1725 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006 (202) 659-2930

23850

PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE